

Szemerédi regularity lemma

We begin with some definitions.

Let A and B be disjoint sets of vertices in a graph. Let $e(A, B)$ denote the number of edges between A and B . The **density** (of the pair of sets), $d(A, B)$, is the ratio of the number of edges between the two sets to the total number of possible edges, i.e.,

$$d(A, B) = \frac{e(A, B)}{|A||B|}.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. We call a pair of sets (A, B) **ϵ -regular** if for every pair $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ such that $|A'| \geq \epsilon|A|$ and $|B'| \geq \epsilon|B|$ we have

$$|d(A, B) - d(A', B')| < \epsilon.$$

Roughly, a pair is ϵ -regular if the density of not-too-small subsets is close to the density of the sets themselves. Consequently, an ϵ -regular pairs have a “randomish” structure.

The goal of the regularity lemma is to show that certain graphs can be partitioned into (not too many) classes where most of the pairs of classes are ϵ -regular. This structure has many useful consequences. An **equipartition** (into r classes) is a partition of the vertices of a graph G where each class is of size $\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor$ or $\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil$ (i.e., classes are as close in size as possible).

Theorem 1 (Szemerédi regularity lemma, 1974). *Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $m \geq 1$, there exists a constant $M = M(\epsilon, m)$ such that every graph on at least m vertices has an equipartition into r parts such that all but at most ϵr^2 pairs of classes are ϵ -regular and $m \leq r < M$.*

Often we call a partition that is given by the regularity lemma an **ϵ -regular partition** or a **Szemerédi partition**. The partition classes themselves are typically called **clusters**.

1: Show that the regularity lemma holds for graphs on $n < M$ vertices.

Hint: Partition into lots of classes.

Solution: Let G be a graph on $n \leq M$ vertices and consider the equipartition where every class is a single vertex. Every pair of classes has density either 0 or 1. Furthermore, for two classes A and B the only subsets A' and B' that satisfy $|A'| \geq \epsilon|A|$ and $|B'| \geq \epsilon|B|$ are A and B themselves, so every pair of classes is ϵ -regular, so the partition is ϵ -regular.

In this case, $n < M$, the lemma does not usually give useful information about the graph, so we are more concerned with the case when our graph has (many) more vertices than M .

It is not possible to avoid pairs that are not ϵ -regular. HW later on so called half graphs with ϵr bad pairs. Conlon and Fox gave construction that has at least r^2/r^* bad pairs, where r^* is the inverse Ackerman function. Bad news is that M is large. Known $O(\epsilon^{-5})$ -level iterated exponential of m . Gowers found graphs that need M at least $\epsilon^{-1/16}$ -level iterated exponential of m .

Furthermore, when G has $o(n^2)$ edges, then the regularity lemma also becomes trivial and similarly does not give useful information for large n . Therefore, we generally will only use the regularity lemma to examine graphs with $\Theta(n^2)$ edges and with n (very very) large.

The regularity lemma was developed to prove a famous conjecture of Erdős and Turán on the maximum possible size of a subset of $[n]$ that contains no k -term arithmetic progressions. Before the development of the regularity lemma, Roth proved the conjecture for $k = 3$. Szemerédi later proved the theorem for $k = 4$ and eventually for all values of k .

We will postpone the proof of the regularity lemma. Instead we begin with some applications. First we prove a lemma that uses only the definition of ϵ -regular pairs.

Lemma 2 (Triangle counting lemma). *Let A, B, C be a partition of the vertices of a graph G . Suppose each pair of classes is ϵ -regular with densities $d(A, B) = x$, $d(B, C) = y$, and $d(C, A) = z$. If $x, y, z \geq 2\epsilon$, then the number of triangles with one vertex in each partition class is at least*

$$(1 - 2\epsilon)(x - \epsilon)(y - \epsilon)(z - \epsilon)|A||B||C|.$$

Proof. 2: Observe that A contains at most $\epsilon|A|$ vertices each with less than $(x - \epsilon)|B|$ neighbors in B .

Solution: Indeed, if there are more, call them A' and note the density

$$d(A', B) = \frac{e(A', B)}{|A'||B|} < (x - \epsilon).$$

This implies that $|d(A, B) - d(A', B)| > \epsilon$; a contradiction.

By the same argument we can get that A contains at most $\epsilon|A|$ vertices each with less than $(z - \epsilon)|C|$ neighbors in C . Thus at least $(1 - 2\epsilon)|A|$ vertices in A have $\geq (x - \epsilon)|B| \geq \epsilon|B|$ neighbors in B and $\geq (z - \epsilon)|C| \geq \epsilon|C|$ neighbors in C . Let v be such a vertex and let B' and C' be the set of neighbors of v in B and C , respectively.

3: Use ϵ -regularity between B' and C' to finish the proof.

Solution: As $x, z \geq 2\epsilon$ we get $|B'| \geq (x - \epsilon)|B| \geq \epsilon|B|$ and $|C'| \geq (z - \epsilon)|C| \geq \epsilon|C|$. Therefore, by regularity, we have

$$|d(B, C) - d(B', C')| < \epsilon$$

so, $d(B', C') > y - \epsilon$. Thus, the total number of such edges between B' and C' is at least

$$d(B', C')|B'||C'| \geq (y - \epsilon)(x - \epsilon)(z - \epsilon)|B||C|.$$

Each of these edges forms a desired triangle with v and there are at least $(1 - 2\epsilon)|A|$ choices for v , so the total number of desired triangles is at least

$$(1 - 2\epsilon)(x - \epsilon)(y - \epsilon)(z - \epsilon)|A||B||C|.$$

□

Theorem 3 (Triangle removal lemma, Ruzsa-Szemerédi, 1978). *For $\alpha > 0$, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that if G is an n -vertex graph that requires the removal of αn^2 edges to be triangle-free, then G has at least βn^3 triangles.*

The triangle removal lemma essentially says that a graph with few triangles can always be made triangle-free with the removal of relatively few edges. This straightforward idea is confirmed by application of the regularity lemma.

Proof. Let G be a graph as in the statement of the triangle removal lemma and note that $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. Let us apply the regularity lemma to G with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{6}\alpha$ and $m > \frac{3}{\alpha}$. That is, there exists a constant M (depending on α) such that for n large enough, G has an $\frac{1}{6}\alpha$ -regular equipartition V_1, \dots, V_r with $\frac{3}{\alpha} < r < M$.

We begin by removing edges from G . To avoid ceiling functions we use the inequality $\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil^2 \leq 2(\frac{n}{r})^2$.

4: Count the number of edges, that are 1. inside each cluster, 2. between pairs that are not ϵ -regular, 3. between pairs of clusters V_i and V_j if $d(V_i, V_j) \leq \frac{1}{3}\alpha$. Then sum 1.+2.+3. and conclude if removing these edges kills all triangles.

Solution:

1. Remove the edges inside of each cluster V_i . There are at most $r \binom{\lceil n/r \rceil}{2} \leq \frac{n^2}{r} < \frac{1}{3}\alpha n^2$ such edges.
2. Remove the edges between all pairs V_i, V_j that are not $\frac{1}{6}\alpha$ -regular. There are at most $\frac{1}{6}\alpha r^2$ such pairs and each has at most $\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil^2 \leq 2(\frac{n}{r})^2$ edges. So we remove at most $\frac{1}{3}\alpha n^2$ such edges.
3. Remove the edges between all pairs V_i, V_j if the density of the pair $d(V_i, V_j) < \frac{1}{3}\alpha$. There are less than $\frac{1}{3}\alpha \binom{r}{2} 2(\frac{n}{r})^2 < \frac{1}{3}\alpha n^2$ such edges.

In total we have removed less than αn^2 edges, thus the graph still contains a triangle xyz .

5: Find any triangle that remains after removing the edges from the previous exercise and show that counting lemma can be used to get β .

Solution: There are no edges inside any clusters, so the triangle must be between three classes; call them V_1, V_2, V_3 . All three pairs of clusters must be $\frac{1}{6}\alpha$ -regular and have density at least $\frac{1}{3}\alpha$. Furthermore, each class V_i has $|V_i| \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor > \frac{n}{M}$. Therefore, by the triangle counting lemma, the number of triangles in V_1, V_2, V_3 and thus in G is at least

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\alpha\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{6}\right)^3 \left(\frac{n}{M}\right)^3 > \frac{5\alpha^3}{6^4 M^3} n^3.$$

Hence we can use $\beta = \frac{5\alpha^3}{6^4 M^3}$.

□

Extra note - when building the proof, one starts with constants like ϵ , m and in the end can pick find the proper dependence on α . The dependence is not really as important here anyway. Also note, the edges between irregular pairs, inside parts and in non-dense pairs were all negligible and hidden in some small error in the end.